Deep Learning Financial Market Data

Steven Hutt steven.c.hutt@gmail.com 12 December, 2016 A financial exchange provides a central location for electronically trading contracts. Trading is implemented on a Matching Engine, which matches buy and sell orders according to certain priority rules and queues orders which do not immediately match.



A. Booth©

A Marketable limit order is immediately matched and results in a trade.

A Passive limit order cannot be matched and joins the queue.

Data In: Client ID tagged order flow.

Data Out: LOB state (quantity at price).

Need to learn data sequences.

Level	Bid Px	Bid Sz	Ask Px	Ask Sz
1	23.0	6	24.0	2
2	22.5	13	24.5	5
3	22.0	76	25.0	17
4	21.5	132	25.5	14
5	21.0	88	26.0	8

5 Level Limit Order Book

$$a_t = \underbrace{(88, 132, 76, \dots, 14, 8)}_{10 \text{ elements}}$$

 $b_t = (23.0, 24.0)$

At a given point in time, the LOB may be represented by:

Bid Side: The quantity of orders at each price level at or below the best bid.

Ask Side: The quantity of orders at each price level at or above the best ask.

A possible representation $x_t = a_t \sqcup b_t$ is given on the left.

The evolution of the LOB over time is represented by a sequence:

 $(X_1, X_2, X_3, \cdots, X_n, \cdots)$

Motivation Regulators identify prohibited patterns of trading activity detrimental to orderly markets. Financial Exchanges are responsible for maintaining orderly markets. (e.g. Flash Crash and Hound of Hounslow.)

Challenge Identify prohibited trading patterns quickly and efficiently.

Goal Build a trading pattern search function using Deep Learning. Given a sample trading pattern identify similar patterns in historical LOB data.

Learning Sequences with RNNs

In a Recurrent Neural Network there are nodes which feedback on themselves. Below $x_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x}$, $h_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n_h}$ and $z_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z}$, for t = 1, 2, ..., T. Each node is a vector or 1-dim array.



The RNN is defined by update equations:

$$h_{t+1} = f(W_{hh}h_t + W_{hx}x_t + b_h), \quad z_t = g(W_{yh}h_t + b_z).$$

where $W_{uv} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_u \times n_v}$ and $b_u \in \mathbb{R}^{n_u}$ are the RNN weights and biases respectively. The functions f and g are generally nonlinear.

Given parameters $\theta = \{W_{hh}, W_{hx}, W_{yh}, b_h, b_z\}$, an RNN defines a mapping of sequences

$$F_{\theta}: X = (X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_T) \mapsto Z = (Z_1, Z_2, \cdots, Z_T).$$

Supervised Training for RNNs

Supervised Learning: Given sample input sequences $\{X^{(1)}, X^2, \dots X^{(K)}\}$ with corresponding outputs $\{Z^{(1)}, Z^2, \dots Z^{(K)}\}$, choose the RNN parameters θ so as to minimize

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \sum_{t=k}^{K} \mathcal{L}_k(\theta) \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{L}_k(\theta) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} L(F_{\theta}(x_t^{(k)}), z_t^{(k)})$$

and $L_t(\theta) = L(F_{\theta}(x_t), z_t)$ is some loss function, e.g. least squares.

Gradient Descent: Fixing some time t above, we have

$$\frac{\partial L_t}{\partial \theta} = \sum_{i=1}^t \frac{\partial L_t}{\partial x_t} \frac{\partial x_t}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial \theta} \quad \text{where} \quad \frac{\partial x_t}{\partial x_i} = \prod_{t \ge j > i} \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial x_{j-1}}$$

But this final product leads to vanishing / exploding gradients! Vanishing gradients limits the RNNs capacity to learn from the distant past. Exploding gradients destroy the Gradient Descent algorithm.

Problem: How to allow information in early states to influence later states? Note that trading patterns can extend over 100s of time steps.

Long Short Term Memory Units

We would like to create paths through time through which the gradient neither vanishes nor explodes. Sometimes need to forget information once it has been used.

An LSTM [3] learns long term dependencies by adding a memory cell and controlling what gets modified and what gets forgotten:



An LSTM can remember state dependencies further back than a standard RNN and has become one of the standard approaches to learning sequences.

The behaviour of the LSTM can be difficult to analyse.

An alternative approach [1] is to ensure there are no contractive directions, that is require $W_{hh} \in U(n)$, the space of unitary matrices.

This preserves the simple form of an RNN but ensures the state dependencies go further back in the sequence.

A key problem is that gradient descent does not preserve the unitary property:

 $x \in U(n) \mapsto x + \lambda v \notin U(n)$

The solution in [1] is to choose W_{hh} from a subset generated by parametrized unitary matrices:

$$W_{hh} = D_3 R_2 \mathcal{F}^{-1} D_2 \Pi R_1 \mathcal{F} D_1.$$

Here D is diagonal, R is a reflection, \mathcal{F} is the Fourier transform and Π is a permutation.



RNNs as Programs addressing External Memory

RNNs are Turing complete but the theoretical capabilities are not matched in practice due to training inefficiencies.

The Neural Turing Machine emulates a differentiable Turing Machine by adding external addressable memory and using the RNN state as a memory controller [2].

NTM equivalent 'code'



write input to head location increment head location by 1 end while return head to start location while true do read output vector from head location emit output increment head location by 1 end while

initialise: move head to start location
while input delimiter not seen do
 receive input vector

Vanila NTM Architecture

Deep RNNs



The input to hidden layer L_i is the state h_{i-1} of hidden layer L_{i-1} . Deep RNNs can learn at different time scales.

Unsupervised Learning: Autoencoders

The more regularities there are in data the more it can be compressed. The more we are able to compress the data, the more we have learned about the data.

(Grünwald, 1998)



Autoencoder

Recurrent Autoencoders



encoder

decoder

A Recurrent Autoencoder is trained to output a reconstruction z of the the input sequence x. All data must pass through the narrow h_T^e . Compression between input and output forces pattern learning.

Recurrent Autoencoder Updates



copy

encoder

decoder

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h}_{1}^{e} &= f(\mathcal{W}_{hh}^{e} \mathbf{h}_{0}^{e} + \mathcal{W}_{hx}^{e} \mathbf{x}_{1} + b_{h}^{e}) \\ \mathbf{h}_{2}^{e} &= f(\mathcal{W}_{hh}^{e} \mathbf{h}_{1}^{e} + \mathcal{W}_{hx}^{e} \mathbf{x}_{2} + b_{h}^{e}) \\ \mathbf{h}_{3}^{e} &= f(\mathcal{W}_{hh}^{e} \mathbf{h}_{2}^{e} + \mathcal{W}_{hx}^{e} \mathbf{x}_{3} + b_{h}^{e}) \end{aligned}$

encoder updates

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h}_1^{d} &= f(\mathcal{W}_{hh}^{d}\mathbf{h}_0^{d} + \mathcal{W}_{hx}^{d}\mathbf{z}_0 + b_h^{d}) \\ \mathbf{h}_0^{d} &= \mathbf{h}_T^{e} \qquad \mathbf{h}_2^{d} = f(\mathcal{W}_{hh}^{d}\mathbf{h}_1^{d} + \mathcal{W}_{hx}^{d}\mathbf{z}_1 + b_h^{d}) \\ \mathbf{h}_3^{d} &= f(\mathcal{W}_{hh}^{d}\mathbf{h}_2^{d} + \mathcal{W}_{hx}^{d}\mathbf{z}_2 + b_h^{d}) \end{aligned}$

decoder updates

Deep Recurrent Autoencoder



A Deep Recurrent Autoencoder allows learning patterns on different time scales. This is important for trading patterns which may occur over a few or multiple time steps.

TensorFlow Deep Recurrent AutoEncoder



Goal: Build a trading pattern search function using Deep Learning. Given a sample trading pattern identify similar patterns in historical LOB data.

Methodology: Train the deep recurrent autoencoder on sequences of LOB data to define a mapping from LOB sequences to fixed length vector encoded LOB sequences:

$$X = \{X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_T\} \xrightarrow{encode} X_{enc} = h_{3T}^e$$

For all historical LOB data, $\{X^{(1)}, X^{(2)}, \dots, X^{(K)}\}$ compute $\{X_{enc}^{(1)}, X_{enc}^{(2)}, \dots, X_{enc}^{(K)}\}$. Pattern Search: Define dist $(X, Y) = d(X_{enc}, Y_{enc})$ where *d* is some metric on \mathbb{R}^n with $n = \dim(h_{3T}^e)$.

Sample Search Results (Small Data Set)



LHS: Search target RHS: Search result

Time displacement Large quantity bias It is useful to learn the distribution of LOB sequences and be able to generate samples from this distribution:

Backtesting: Generate large scale sample sets for systems testing Transfer Learning: Augment historical data for illiquid contracts Latent Variables: Learn driving variables for LOB markets

The recurrent autoencoder above does not learn data distributions and cannot generate samples of LOB sequences.

Instead:

Generative Models: generate examples like those already in the data

We assume a probabalistic model for the data x in the form

$$p(x) = \int p_{\theta}(x \mid h) p(h) dh$$

for latent variables *h* and seek to choose parameters θ which maximize p(x) over the data.

General Approach: Distribution p(h) can be simple (e.g. Gaussian) so long as $p_{\theta}(x \mid h)$ is universal (e.g. neural network)

How to maximize p(x)?: Sample $h_k \sim p(h)$ and set $p(x) \simeq \frac{1}{n} \sum_k p_{\theta}(x \mid h_k)$

But: For most h, $p_{\theta}(x \mid h)$ will be close to zero so convergence is poor

Better: Sample *h* from $p_{\theta}(h | x)$ and set $p(x) = E_{h \sim p_{\theta}(z|x)}(p_{\theta}(x | h))$

We assume the market data $\{x_k\}$ is sampled from a probability distribution p(x) with a 'small' number of latent variables h.

Assume $p_{\theta}(x, h) = p_{\theta}(x | h)p_{\theta}(h)$ where θ are weights of a NN/RNN. Then

$$p_{\theta}(x) = \sum_{h} p_{\theta}(x \mid h) p_{\theta}(h)$$

Train network to minimize

$$\mathcal{L}_{\theta}(\{x_k\}) = \min_{\theta} \sum_{k} - \log(p_{\theta}(x_k)).$$

Compute $p_{\theta}(h | x)$ and cluster.

But $p_{\theta}(h | x)$ is intractable!



Variational Inference learns an NN/RNN approximation $q_{\phi}(h|x)$ to $p_{\theta}(h|x)$ during training.

Think of q_{ϕ} as encoder and p_{θ} decoder networks: an autoencoder.

Then $\log p_{\theta}(x_k) \ge \mathcal{L}_{\theta,\phi}(x_k)$ where $\mathcal{L}_{\theta,\phi}(x_k) = \underbrace{-D_{\mathsf{KL}}(q_{\phi}(h \mid x_k) \mid | p_{\theta}(h))}_{\text{regularization term}} + \underbrace{E_{q_{\phi}}(\log p_{\theta}(x_k \mid h))}_{\text{reconstruction term}}$ is the variational lower bound. Reconstruction term = log-likelihood wrt q_{ϕ} Regularization term = target prior on en-

Questions?

M. Arjovsky, A. Shah, and Y. Bengio. Unitary evolution recurrent neural networks.

arXiv:1511.06464v4, 2016.

A. Graves, G. Wayne, and I. Danihelka. Neural turing machines.

arXiv:1410.5401v2, 2014.

S. Hochreiter and J. Schmidhuber.

Long short term memory.

Neural Computation, 9:1735--1780, 1997.